

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,899	STEVENS, KENNETH A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Richard Lazarus (Reg. No. 48,215).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Contacted Mr. Lazarus (applicant's representative) to get permission to amend claim 1 for consistency of claim language, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation Mr. Lazarus approved such amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)